### **Preem CCS** 2019 - 2021

## Forskning, demonstration och förutsättningar för CCS på Svenska västkusten

Karin Lundqvist

Preem Development engineer, New opportunities

2022-04-21



# **Unique collaboration made this project happen**

- Preem and Chalmers University of Technology have previously studied CCS with good collaboration
- Equinor and Northern Lights provide valuable information about the value chain
- **Sintef's** extensive experience of CCS investigations is a very valuable contribution
- Aker Carbon Capture's technical solutions and Mobile Test Unit provide the practical knowledge and experience for the project to build on
- Gassnova and the Swedish Energy Agency financially supporting the project

**3 year project 2019 - 2022** 

Budget 28 Mkr



# **Overview of activities in Preem CCS**





# **Pilot scale testing of CO<sub>2</sub> capture at the Lysekil refinery**

# Pilot-scale testing of CO<sub>2</sub> capture from flue gases at refinery's hydrogen production unit (HPU)

Baseline emissions (corresponding to assumed future emissions with increase H<sub>2</sub> production in HPU):

1.855 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>/a (refinery)

685 kt CO<sub>2</sub>/a (HPU, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 18-20vol%\_CO<sub>2</sub>,wet)

# Aker Carbon Capture (ACC) mobile test unit (MTU) capture capacity: up to 3 ton CO<sub>2</sub>/day

2 test campaigns

Campaign 1: MEA solvent (30wt.%) Campaign 2: ACC's proprietary solvent S26







## Main results of pilot scale testing

#### **Campaign 1 MEA solvent**

- Approx. 500 hrs of operation, 90% capture rate
- 57 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> captured
- Good performance of anti-mist design
- Clear indications of solvent degradation and loss

### **Campaign 2 S26 proprietary solvent**

- Approx. 3000 hrs of operation @90% capture rate (campaign 2 included some runs at higher capture rate)
- 363 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> captured
- Energy performance: SRD values 15–18% below those obtained during MEA campaign
- Solvent degradation and losses: one order of magnitude
   below measured values during MEA campaign









6

### Heat integration study - Conclusions

# Heat supply for solvent regeneration is a major cost contributor

Recovery of residual heat at site could supply <u>~40%</u> of heat required to mitigate ~80% of the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted today at the Lysekil refinery (MEA capture; 90% capture rate)

Almost all heat needed for HPU capture from residual heat

Main gains with maximal recovery of residual heat:

- <u>Maximizes CO<sub>2</sub> abatement</u> if implemented in combination with heat pumps and electric boilers
- <u>Minimizes the import of external energy</u> and use of additional fossil fuels.
- <u>Can save 29-36% of annual CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost compared to</u> relying on external energy alone





### **CCS Chain analysis**



Case	CO <sub>2</sub> source at the Preem refineries	Approx. capture (90% of yearly emissions of corresponding stacks) [Mt CO2/a]	Transport pressure [barg]
Case 1	Lysekil: HPU flue gas (SMR)	~0.616	15
Case 1A	Lysekil: HPU flue gas (SMR)	~0.616	7
Case 2	Lysekil: HPU+ combined stack2 (low sulphur)	~0.940	15
Case 3	Lysekil: HPU + FCC	~0.799	15
Case 4	Lysekil: HPU + FCC + combined stack 1 + 2	~1.581	15
Case 5	HPU flue gas in Lysekil and Gothenburg	~0.916	15

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\textbf{Objective}}: \textbf{Evaluate}\\ \textbf{technical feasibility and}\\ \textbf{cost of the CCS chain}\\ \textbf{including CO}_2 \ \textbf{capture and}\\ \textbf{transportation by ship to}\\ \textbf{storage facilities off the}\\ \textbf{Norwegian west coast} \end{array}$ 





### **CCS** Chain analysis - Conclusions

- 0.6–1.6 Mt/a of CO<sub>2</sub> can be captured with calculated avoidance costs in the range 94–128 €/t CO<sub>2</sub>-avoided (storage cost not included)
- Capturing larger volumes of CO<sub>2</sub> does not lead to lower specific avoidance costs
- Reducing the transport pressure to 7 barg leads to 44% lower costs for on-site storage, loading and shipping
- The high-volume capture scenario (1.6 Mt/a of CO<sub>2</sub>) could potentially trigger implementation of Phase 2 of the Northern Lights project (requires a CO<sub>2</sub> supply of 1.5-5 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>/a)





# More important lessons from the project

- Proven robustness of the technology
- Regulatory barriers are removed but there is still work to do
- The entire value chain must be secured in the form of agreements, monitoring and regulations in place



# Read the reports from the project

#### **Project web page**

https://www.preem.se/foretag/kund-hos-preem/ hallbart-foretagande/har-ska-koldioxiden-fangas-in/

### Preem CCS Synthesis of main project findings.pdf Preem CCS Legal and Regulatory.pdf



Clients:

Gassnova, Energimyndigheten, Preem AB

# **Preem's way forward**

# **From fossil to climate neutral in 2035**

Is it really possible?









# In 2010 Preem started renewable co-processing

# Preem processed **340,000** m<sup>3</sup> of renewable raw materials in 2021



### Why study CCS?





"Refers to quantified emissions in 2018 and in prioritized emission categories as specified by the GHG protocol's "scope 3 standard"

- CCS complements the transition from fossil to renewable production
- CCS with an increasing amount of bio-CCS



# And how can there be a full-scale CCS facility in place?

- We are looking at developing CCS for both refineries, Göteborg and Lysekil
- Implementation is depending on the time schedule of our transition projects and permit processes
- Fossil CCS is included in EU ETS, bio-CCS need other incentives.
- When the low-carbon hydrogen is used for renewables production, it brings value through the reduction quota regulation.
- In Lysekil we have our own harbor facilities
- In Göteborg we are part of the cluster project CinfraCap





# Cinfracap

### Captured carbon dioxide - from capture plant to quayside

The optimal solution for the future, for CSS logistics and infrastructure in Gothenburg

Phase I – 2020/21 project leader Preem Phase II – 2021/22 project leader Nordion Energi and Göteborg Energi

# We find the solution together

CinfraCap is a unique collaborative project with companies that share the ambition to reduce climate-affecting emissions here and now.

The project is supported by Industriklivet, the Swedish Energy Agency's climate initiative.







Project web page https://www.goteborgshamn.se/hamnens-projekt/cinfracap/

### Prestudy report phase I

https://www.goteborgshamn.se/globalassets/cinfracap-forstudie-23-april-2021.pdf



# Design requirements CCS value chain

- 1. Capture of CO<sub>2</sub>
- 2. Transport of  $CO_2$ 
  - Pipeline liquid
  - Pipeline gas
  - Tanker liquid
  - Railway liquid
- 3. CinfraCap CO<sub>2</sub> terminal,
  - Intermediate storage and potential liquefaction
- 4. Loading to ships of liquid CO<sub>2</sub>
- 5. Ship transport and Recieving facility
- 6. Geological storage



Incoming steams from the capture plants to the loading arm for export of  $CO_2$  to ships at the quay.



#### **Design requirements**

## The parties - facilities physical location



Kick-off "Phase II" 26/1. Phase II ongoing until 30/10 aiming at producing input for BED/FEED.

WP1. Project management, communication
WP2. Technical design and cost calculations
WP3. Synchronization non- technical milestones
WP4. Potential locations final storage
WP5. Business model
WP6. Inventory permitting
WP7. Project risk analysis







### Tack för visat intresse

1.41













# **Overview of the project "Preem CCS"**

21

### CCS From something vague in the future - to a realistic plan







# **General description of the project**

<u>Work packages</u>	<u>Responsible</u>
• WPO Project management	Preem
• WP1 Demonstration of $CO_2$ capture on site	Aker CC
• WP2 Process evaluation and integration of full-scale CCS	Chalmers
• WP3 CCS value chain analysis: $CO_2$ capture, liquefaction and transport	SINTEF
• WP4 Identification of legal and regulatory barriers	SINTEF
• WP5 Definition of a roadmap for $CO_2$ reduction at Preemraff Lysekil	Chalmers



# What happens now?

- The "Preem CCS" has created trust to take the next steps e.g., a feasibility study for a full-scale facility
- Continued work with partners regarding the value chain in the form of agreements, regulations and permits
  - The economic conditions need to be developed and fully understood, and become clear for the entire value chain

